

Note to Readers: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

Supplemental Material

Satellite-Based Spatiotemporal Trends in PM_{2.5} Concentrations: China, 2004–2013

Zongwei Ma, Xuefei Hu, Andrew M. Sayer, Robert Levy, Qiang Zhang, Yingang Xue, Shilu Tong, Jun Bi, Lei Huang, and Yang Liu

Table of Contents

Table S1. The website links of PM_{2.5} data sources

Validation of Aqua MODIS C6 AOD products

Figure S1. AERONET sites included in this study.

Figure S2. Validation of Aqua MODIS C6 DB and DT AOD products with different NDVI categories. The solid lines are the regression lines. The dot lines are the 1:1 lines. The dash lines indicate the expected error (EE) envelopes ($\pm (0.05 + 20\%$ of the AERONET AOD)) and the double EE envelopes ($\pm 2 \times (0.05 + 20\%$ of the AERONET AOD)). Mean bias is defined as the mean value of the differences between AERONET AOD and satellite AOD values.

Comparison of model performance using GEOS-5 FP and GEOS-5.2.0 meteorological data

Figure S3. Comparison of CV estimations using GEOS-5.2.0 and GEOS-5 FP for the first-stage model (A) and the overall model (B) for Jan-May, 2013 (N=21,678)

Table S2. Summary statistics of daily comparisons between the estimations using GEOS-5.2.0 and GEOS-5 FP data (04/2012-12/2012, 273 days)

Table S3. Descriptive statistics of variables for the modeling dataset of 2013 (N=63,031)

Table S4. Fixed effect, model fitting and CV results of the first-stage LME model for each province

Figure S4. Annual mean PM_{2.5} concentrations of 2013 for first-stage LME model (*A*), full model (*B*), and ground measurements (*C*). The mean ground-measured PM_{2.5} concentrations are calculated from the days when corresponding gridded AOD values are available.

Figure S5. Sensitivity analysis of how many available AOD-derived PM_{2.5} estimations in a month (*A*) or season (*B*) can represent a true monthly or seasonal mean value, respectively. Since there are fewer data points at the seasonal level, we use a 5-day moving time window here. For example, the label of “1-5” of X-axis in (*B*) means it includes available days from 1 to 5.

Figure S6. The spatial distributions of 10-year seasonal mean PM_{2.5} estimations (2004-2013).

References